arXiv:1507.01872v1 [math.AG] 7 Jul 2015

FROM EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS TO DEL PEZZO SURFACES AND SIMULTANEOUS LOG RESOLUTIONS VIA PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER ELLIPTIC CURVES

I. GROJNOWSKI AND N. I. SHEPHERD-BARRON

1 Introduction

Simply laced Coxeter–Dynkin diagrams are combinatorial objects that describe both the minimal resolutions of du Val singularities (= rational double points = simple singularities), and so the singularities themselves, and simply connected simple algebraic groups of types A, D or E. A famous construction due to Brieskorn, Grothendieck, Slodowy and Springer (BGSS) gives a direct link between these two classes of objects that is geometrical and not merely combinatorial; it shows that the deformation theory of these singularities and their resolutions can be embedded inside the corresponding group. Moreover, the construction exhibits simultaneous resolution of deformations of these singularities in the context of these groups.

The point of this paper is to extend this by showing that *simultaneous log resolutions* of simply elliptic singularities of degrees $d = 1, 2, 3$ or 4, which for a long time have been known to exist, also have realizations in terms of simple algebraic groups. Here, only exceptional groups of type E_{9-d} can, and do, occur. In particular, the construction shows that, given an elliptic curve E and an exceptional simply connected group G with weight lattice P, there is, inside something closely related to the the stack of G-bundles over E, a family of del Pezzo surfaces of the correct degree that is parametrized by the abelian variety $\mathrm{Hom}(P, E)$. This establishes a direct geometrical passage from the exceptional simply connected algebraic group of type E_{9-d} to the class of del Pezzo surfaces of degree d, as direct as the link between del Pezzo surfaces and du Val singularities described in [SSS].

From the viewpoint of algebraic surfaces and the boundaries of their moduli spaces, here is an illustration, for the group E_8, of the background to this paper. Suppose that X_0 is the quasi-homogeneous exceptional complex surface singularity $x^2 + y^3 + z^7 = 0$. According to Brieskorn [B] and Looijenga [L], if $S \cong \mathbb{A}^{12}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the base of a certain (obvious) deformation of X_0, miniversal at the origin and $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, then there is a hyperplane S_+ in S where the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ has positive weights and the geometric quotient $[S_+ - \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ of the stack $S_+ - \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m$ is naturally the Baily-Borel compactification M^{BB} of the locally symmetric variety $M = [\mathcal{M}]$, where $\mathcal{M} = \Gamma\backslash(SO_{2,10}(\mathbb{R})/S(O_2 \times O_{10})(\mathbb{R}))$ and

$\Gamma = SO^+_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of $\mathbb{Z}$-points of spinor norm 1 in the orthogonal group for the even unimodular lattice $II_{2,10} = H \oplus H \oplus E_8(-1)$ of signature $(2, 10)$ (so that M is also the coarse moduli space, by the Torelli theorem), of $T_{2,3,7}$-polarized $K3$ surfaces (essentially, those endowed with a $T_{2,3,7}$ configuration of smooth rational curves). That is, the affine family $X^0_+ \to S_+ - \{0\}$ induced from the one over S can be relatively compactified to $Z \to S_+ - \{0\}$ by adjoining a $T_{2,3,7}$ configuration at infinity, and then $f : Z/\mathbb{G}_m \to S_+ - \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m$ is the family that exhibits $[S_+ - \{0\}/\mathbb{G}_m]$ as a compactified moduli space. (Our notation is that $[X]$ either denotes the coarse moduli space, if that exists, of an algebraic stack X, or is a reference to a paper listed in the bibliography.)

In particular, the Baily-Borel boundary is a copy of the compactified j-line, and over $j \neq \infty$ the family f is a miniversal deformation of a simply elliptic singularity of degree 1 (that is, the affine cone obtained by contracting the 0-section in a line bundle of degree -1 over an elliptic curve[1]); over $j = \infty$ the family yields a miniversal deformation of a so-called cusp singularity.

Now consider toroidal compactifications M^{tor} of M. There are many such, but over the finite part of the j-line they coincide, and we denote their common value by $M^{tor,0}$, a partial compactification of M. Its boundary is an irreducible divisor δ and [Fr] the modular interpretation of M extends to $M^{tor,0}$ in such a way that δ is the coarse moduli space of type II degenerate $K3$ surfaces with a $T_{2,3,7}$ configuration: by definition, these are reducible surfaces of the form $D_0 \cup \overline{D}_1$, where $\overline{D}_1$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1, D_0 is a rational surface with a $T_{2,3,7}$ configuration disjoint from $\overline{D}_1$ and D_0 and $\overline{D}_1$ meet transversely along an elliptic curve that is anti-canonical and ample on $\overline{D}_1$. Here, a *del Pezzo surface* is a surface S whose anti-canonical divisor class is ample and that has at worst du Val singularities; a *weak del Pezzo surface* is smooth and its anti-canonical class is ample modulo finitely many (-2)-curves. That is, a weak del Pezzo surface is the minimal resolution of a del Pezzo surface.

In terms of stacks, the pair $(M^{tor,0}, \delta)$ is the geometric quotient of a pair $(\mathcal{M}^{tor,0}, \Delta)$ where $\mathcal{M}^{tor,0}$ is a partial toroidal compactification of the stack of $T_{2,3,7}$-polarized $K3$ surfaces. The boundary divisor Δ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}/W$, where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Hom}(P, E)$ with P the weight lattice of type E_8, E the universal elliptic curve and W the corresponding (finite) Weyl group, and there is a tubular neighbourhood of Δ in $\mathcal{M}^{tor,0}$ that is isomorphic to the zero-section in the line bundle $\mathcal{L}/W \to \mathcal{Y}/W$, where $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ defines the standard W-invariant principal polarization on $\mathcal{Y}$, The del Pezzo surfaces $\overline{D}_1$ fit together into an irreducible variety $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$, with a morphism $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_1 \to [\mathcal{Y}/W]$; after pulling back to $\mathcal{Y}/W$ this can be blown up to a smooth family $\mathcal{D}_1/W \to \mathcal{Y}/W$ of weak del Pezzo surfaces. Over the origin $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$ the fibres D_1 of $\mathcal{D}_1$ have (-2)-configurations of type E_8 and the fibres $\overline{D}_1$ of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_1$ have E_8 singularities.

---

[1] Over $\mathbb{C}$, any normal surface singularity in whose minimal resolution the exceptional locus is a single elliptic curve of self-intersection $-d$ is locally analytically isomorphic to the cone arising in this way from a line bundle of degree d.

This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.1 *Given a flat family $X \to S$ of normal surfaces, a* simultaneous log resolution *of $X \to S$ is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{S} \arrow[r] & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\widetilde{S} \to S$ is proper, dominant and generically finite, $\widetilde{X} \to X \times_S \widetilde{S}$ is proper and birational, $\widetilde{X}$ is smooth, $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{S}$ is flat and semi-stable and the relative canonical class $K_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{S}}$ is trivial.

Then the interpretation just given of $\mathcal{M}^{tor,0}$ reveals that a versal deformation of a simply elliptic singularity (for example, a miniversal deformation) possesses a simultaneous log resolution $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{S}$ such that moreover
(1) $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{S}$ is everywhere versal,
(2) $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{S}$ is *of type II*, in the sense that, for each fibre X_s with an elliptic singularity, its inverse image $\widetilde{X}_{\tilde{s}}$ in $\widetilde{X}$ is has two components, where one is the minimal resolution of X_s and the other is a weak del Pezzo surface, and
(3) the base-change $\widetilde{S} \to S$ is the composite of a ramified covering of the base S whose Galois group is the corresponding finite Weyl group and a blow-up along the simply elliptic locus in S.

Moreover, this simultaneous log resolution appears in a neighbourhood of the boundary of the covering $\mathcal{M}^{tor,\ 0} \to M^{tor,\ 0}$.

On the other hand, Helmke and Slodowy [HS1] and [HS3] have given a brief indication of a proof that miniversal deformations of simply elliptic singularities of degree d can be realized inside the stack of principal G-bundles over an elliptic curve E, where E is permitted to vary and G is the exceptional simply connected simple algebraic group of type E_l and $l = 9 - d \geq 5$.

Recall from [HS2] and [FM] that a *regular* bundle is one whose automorphism group has minimal dimension, namely l, while for a *subregular* bundle the automorphism group has the next smallest dimension, $l + 2$. Up to translation by points of E there is a unique unstable regular bundle η and a unique unstable subregular bundle ξ; in a miniversal deformation of ξ the unstable locus is a surface S and all points of $S - \{\xi\}$ correspond to bundles isomorphic to η.

Let's list the objects that appear in our main theorem. These are:
(1) the stack $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_E$ of principal G-bundles over the universal elliptic curve E (defined over the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_1$ of elliptic curves) and the open substack $\mathcal{G}^{ss}$ of semi-stable bundles;
(2) the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ that classifies semi-stable G-bundles together with a reduction of the structure group to a Borel subgroup such that the associated torus bundle

is of degree 0 (in the course of reaching our main result we shall show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ is proper and generically finite, of degree equal to the order of the Weyl group of G, over $\mathcal{G}^{ss}$, and that this structure reproduces the BGSS picture);
(3) a stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{+}$ (to be described in Section 6) and a proper, but not representable (although the fibres have finite automorphism groups) morphism $s : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{+} \to \mathcal{G}$ that is a relative compactification of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \to \mathcal{G}^{ss}$;
(4) the variety $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Hom}(P, E)$ and the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{Y}$, giving the cone $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ by contracting the zero section $0_{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$;
(5) the line bundle $\mathcal{L}/W \to \mathcal{Y}/W$ above that is isomorphic to a neighbourhood of the boundary divisor Δ of $\mathcal{M}^{tor,\ 0}$;
(6) the unstable subregular bundle ξ mentioned above, that is unique modulo translation by E;
(7) the base $\mathcal{Z}$ of a deformation that is minimally versal, modulo the translation by E mentioned above, of ξ;
(8) the product $\mathcal{Z}^{+} = \mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{+}$, which has the property that $\mathcal{Z}^{+} \to \mathcal{Z}$ is representable.

The result of Helmke and Slodowy concerning elliptic singularities that we mentioned above is that there is a flat morphism $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$ that is a minimally versal deformation of a simply elliptic singularity of degree d. (Recall that, according to Looijenga [L], each geometric quotient $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ is an affine space of dimension $l+1$.)

Our main result is that there is also an analogue of the BGSS construction for this elliptic situation, as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *(= Theorem 8.10) Over $\mathbb{C}$ there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Z}^{+} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Z} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{L} \arrow[r] & {[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

that is a type II simultaneous log resolution of $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$. In particular, the fibre over a point (y, ξ) of the morphism $\mathcal{Z}^{+} \to \mathcal{Z} \times_{[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]} \mathcal{L}$, where y is a point in the zero section $0_{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 9 - l$.

These fibres are the Springer fibres of the title.

Our proof goes by first finding a family of del Pezzo surfaces in a certain stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ (from which $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{+}$ is later constructed via a blowing-down) and then recovering the Helmke–Slodowy result by observing that the fibre of $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$ over the origin of the affine space $[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$ is a linear section of a cone over a del Pezzo surface, therefore simply elliptic. In some sense, this is a "top down" approach which depends upon being able to recognize a singularity from its resolution.

It is important to point out that, beyond the work of Brieskorn and Looijenga mentioned above, and that of Pinkham [P], what we do builds very much on the results of Helmke and Slodowy, and, to an equal extent, on those of Friedman and Morgan [FM].

Finally, we will revisit the results of this paper in [GS], where amongst other things we will analyse the moduli stacks in a neighbourhood of infinity by using the Tate curve, give uniform descriptions of the combinatorics in terms of the affine Weyl groups and extend the main theorem of this paper to the cases where $5 \leq d \leq 9$.

2 The BGSS construction

To set the notation, we begin by recalling a little of the machinery of reductive groups.

As the starting point of the discussion, fix a smooth connected affine algebraic group G over a field K. Then [SGA3 XXII, Th. 5.8.1 and Cor. 5.8.3, pp. 228-230] there is an associated *flag variety* F over K that parametrizes the maximal soluble subalgebras (the Borel subalgebras) of $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie} G$; it is constructed as a subscheme of the Grassmannian $Gr(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a smooth projective K-variety and is homogeneous under the obvious G-action; it is maximal among the set of homogeneous projective K-varieties the stabilizers of whose points are smooth. If G has a Borel subgroup B defined over K then $F = G/B$, but we do not yet assume that such a subgroup exists; that is, we do not assume that F has a K-point.

Locally in the étale topology on $\mathbf{Spec}\, K$ there is an array of projective homogeneous G-varieties, 2^l in number where l is the rank of G, as defined below, and G-morphisms between them; in particular, there are G-maps $F \to F_1, \ldots, F \to F_l$ that are étale $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles and there are G-maps $F \to X_i$ where X_i is *minimal*, that is, of Picard number 1. We shall assume that all these varieties, and the morphisms in the lattice, are defined over K; this assumption is fulfilled automatically if the Dynkin diagram attached to G has no symmetries. Indeed, the Galois group that acts on the array of varieties above is a quotient of the symmetry group of the Dynkin diagram.

For each minimal variety X_i there is a unique line bundle ϖ_i on $X_i \otimes \overline{K}$ that is a positive generator of $\operatorname{Pic}(X_i \otimes \overline{K})$; we assume that ϖ_i is defined over K. We also let ϖ_i denote the pullback of ϖ_i to F; then $\varpi_1, \ldots, varpi_l$ are the *fundamental dominant weights* of G and form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(F)$. (So, for groups of type E_8 the bundles ϖ_i are always defined over K, because here the root lattice equals the weight lattice.) They also span the nef cone of F, which is also the effective cone of F. By definition, the semi-simple rank of G is the Picard number of F.

Set $\alpha_j = T_{F/F_j}$, the relative tangent bundle. This is a G-linearized line bundle, so defines a class in $\operatorname{Pic}^G(F)$, the group of classes of G-linearized line bundles on F. (The rank of this group is the rank of G.) These classes are the *simple roots* of G. The *simple coroots* are $\alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_l^\vee$ where $\alpha_i^\vee$ is the class of a fibre of $F \to F_i$;

such a curve is a conic (a smooth curve of genus zero).

So some of the various intersection numbers between these curves and divisor classes are given by $(\alpha_i^\vee, \varpi_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_i) = 2$.

The simple roots α_i are the nodes of the associated Coxeter–Dynkin diagram Δ; the edges of Δ are labelled in a way that is determined by the numbers $(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j)$. This diagram leads to a Coxeter system (W, S) acting on $\mathrm{Pic}^G F$ in the usual way.

The group G is *reductive* if it acts effectively on the total space of Pic_F^G; we assume G to be reductive. If the forgetful homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}_F^G \to \mathrm{Pic}_F$ is injective then G is *semi-simple* and if it is an isomorphism then G is *simply connected*. In this case the maps $F \to F_i$ are Zariski $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles. A simply connected group is *simple* if its Dynkin diagram is connected.

For the rest of this paper G will be a simply connected simple group.

Define the torus T by the formula $\mathbb{X}^*(T) = \mathrm{Pic}_F^G$, or $T = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}_F^G, \mathbb{G}_m)$. By assumption, T is split but is not necessarily isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Also, define the incidence subvariety $\widetilde{G}$ of $G \times F$ by

$$\widetilde{G} = \{(g, x) | g(x) = x\}.$$

Then $pr_2 : \widetilde{G} \to F$ is smooth, since $pr_2^{-1}(x)$ is just the stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_G(x)$, and $pr_1 : \widetilde{G} \to G$ is projective. Moreover, there is a smooth morphism $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to T$ given by

$$\pi(g, x)(L) = (\phi : g^*L \to L)(x),$$

where the isomorphism $\phi : g^*L \to L$ is part of the data of the G-linearization of the line bundle L.

In fact, $(pr_2, \pi) : \widetilde{G} \to F \times T$ is smooth; each fibre is a translate of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of G.

Let $\widetilde{G} \to X \to G$ be the Stein factorization of pr_1. Then $X = \mathbf{Spec}\,\Gamma(\widetilde{G}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}})$ is the affine hull of $\widetilde{G}$, so that $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to T$ factors through X.

From the definitions of W and T there is an action of W on T and there is a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r, "pr_1"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & G \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
T \arrow[r] & {[T/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The key point to be proved here is that the composite morphism $\widetilde{G} \to T \to [T/W]$ factors through $pr_1 : \widetilde{G} \to G$; this can be verified over $\overline{K}$, where it follows from (1) the existence of a maximal torus T_1 in G that is isomorphic to T and (2) the fact that the pair $(T_1, N_G(T_1))$ is a slice to the adjoint action of G on itself.

From the viewpoint of algebraic surfaces, their singularities and their moduli, the significance of this diagram is that, if the edges of the Coxeter–Dynkin diagram are unlabelled (that is, if the group is of type ADE), then, the localization of the subregular unipotent orbit in G at its generic point has a du Val singularity of the same type as G, the morphism $\rho : G \to [T/W]$ has normal fibres (this can be proved over $\overline{K}$ as a corollary of Steinberg's theorem that in that context ρ has a section), ρ yields a miniversal deformation of this singularity and $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to T$ gives a miniversal deformation of the minimal resolution of the singularity.

3 Bundles over elliptic curves

Fix an elliptic curve E over a field K and a simply connected reductive group G over K. The word "bundle" will refer to the étale topology. A principal G-bundle $\xi \to E$ gives rise to an F-bundle $\mathcal{F} = \xi \times^G F$ and line bundles $L_\varpi = \xi \times^G \varpi$ on $\mathcal{F}$ for any weight ϖ. Conversely, suppose that $p : \mathcal{F} \to E$ is an F-bundle and that $L_{\varpi_1}, \dots, L_{\varpi_l}$ are line bundles on $\mathcal{F}$ such that L_{ϖ_i} restricts to ϖ_i on each geometric fibre of p. Then there is a reductive and simply connected group scheme G_1 over E defined as the automorphism group scheme of $\mathcal{F}$ and all the given line bundles. If the sheaves $p_*L_{\varpi_i}$ are free on E then G_1 is constant and pulls back from a K-form of G.

Fix $\xi \to E$. Every section σ of $\mathcal{F} \to E$ defines a co-character $[\sigma]$ by $([\sigma], \varpi) = \sigma.c_1(L_\varpi)$. We say that $[\sigma] \leq 0$ if $[\sigma].\varpi_i \leq 0$ for every fundamental dominant weight ϖ_i and $[\sigma] < 0$ if $[\sigma] \leq 0$ and $\sigma \neq 0$.

The next result is an easy special case of Mori's bend-and-break construction and goes back to Nagata's theorem on the existence of sections of small self-intersection on ruled surfaces. In fact, the heart of our proof consists of a reduction to Nagata's theorem.

Proposition 3.1 *Over $\overline{K}$ there is a section σ with $[\sigma] \leq 0$.*

PROOF: Suppose that $([\sigma], \varpi_k) > 0$. Then $[\sigma] = P - N$ with $P = \sum p_i \alpha_i^\vee$, $N = \sum n_j \alpha_j^\vee$, $p_i, n_j > 0$ and P, N have disjoint support. Since $([\sigma], \varpi_k) > 0$, $P \neq 0$. Then $(P, \alpha_j) > 0$ for some j; since $\alpha_j^\vee \notin \operatorname{Supp} N$, $(N, \alpha_j) \leq 0$ and so $([\sigma], \alpha_j) > 0$.

Now $\alpha_j = T_{\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_j}$, where $h : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_j$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. Say $\tau = h(\sigma)$ and consider $\mathcal{F}_\tau \to \tau$, the restriction of h to τ, a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\tau \cong E$ with a section σ. Then $c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_j}).\sigma > 0$.

Now $c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_j})$ is even, since G is simply connected (this implies that the structure group of the $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $F \to F_j$ can be lifted to SL_2), so that $c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_j}).\sigma \geq 2$. That is, $\deg N_{\sigma/\mathcal{F}_\tau} \geq 2$ and then, by Riemann–Roch on E, $\dim_\sigma \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau} \geq 2$.

This makes it possible to bend-and-break: move σ in $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ while forcing it to pass through a fixed point. This gives an algebraic equivalence $\sigma \sim \sigma_0 + \phi$ with ϕ a fibre of h. Then, as cocharacters, $[\sigma_0] < [\sigma]$. Continuing in this way gives a section σ' such that $[\sigma'].\alpha_j \leq 0$ for all j, and then $[\sigma'] \leq 0$. □

Corollary 3.2 *Either ξ is* semi-stable, *in which case $\mathcal{F}$ has a section σ with $[\sigma] = 0$, or ξ is* unstable, *in which case $\mathcal{F}$ has a section σ with $[\sigma] < 0$.*

This definition coincides with all other definitions for principal bundles ξ under *simply connected* semi-simple groups over elliptic curves. In particular, ξ is semi-stable if and only if the adjoint bundle $\operatorname{ad}\xi$ is semi-stable as a vector bundle over E, and this latter definition makes sense for any group.

4 $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ and some relative compactifications

Fix E and G, defined over K. Let $\mathcal{G}^{ss}$ denote the stack of semi-stable G-bundles over E and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ the stack whose objects are pairs (ξ,σ) where $\xi \in \mathcal{G}^{ss}$ and σ is a section of $\mathcal{F} = \xi \times^G F$ whose associated cocharacter $[\sigma]$ is zero. We denote by $\mathcal{T}^0$ the stack of T-bundles over E of multi-degree zero, so that $\mathcal{T}^0 \cong Y \times BT$, where $Y = \operatorname{Hom}(P,E)$. There are morphisms $pr_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\pi} : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \to Y$ given by $\tilde{\pi}(\xi,\sigma)(\varpi) = L_\varpi|_\sigma$ and these stacks and morphisms fit into a commutative square, analogous to the square in the BGSS construction,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[r, "s=pr_1"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & \mathcal{G}^{ss} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r] & {[Y/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $s = pr_1$ is projective (in particular, representable), by Proposition 4.1 below, and $[Y/W]$ is the geometric quotient of the open substack $\mathcal{G}^{ss}_{reg}$ of *regular* semi-stable bundles. Here "regular" means that the automorphism group has minimal dimension l, the rank of G.

We now recall various relative compactifications of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$. There are several that are relevant, but the most useful for us here, because of its smoothness properties, will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ or $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{KM}$; it is based on the stack of stable maps introduced by Kontsevich (although it is also appropriate to attach the name of Mori); that is, it relies on enlarging the source of a map,rather than on Drinfeld's idea of enlarging the target from the class of bundles whose fibre is the flag variety F to the class of bundles whose fibre is the singular and non-separated stack $\overline{F} = (\mathbf{Spec}\, R)/T$, where $R = \oplus_\varpi H^0(F,\varpi)$, the Cox ring of F, the sum being taken over all dominant weights, and T is the torus introduced previously. Of course, any projective homogeneous G-variety X has a similar enlargement $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. This Kontsevich-Mori compactification turns out to be too large, but the extra information that it contains turns out to be crucial for the proof of our main result; the Drinfeld compactification $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$, described in [BG] and recalled below, on the other hand, although it is also useful for us, is too small and too singular, so that we shall also need an intermediate stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the stack whose objects over S are pre-stable curves $C \to S$ whose canonical model is the constant elliptic curve $E \times S$. So, if S is a geometric point, then C is reduced with normal crossings, its dual graph is a tree, every irreducible component except one, say C_1, a a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $C_1 \cong E$. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth stack over K and that the locus of singular curves is a divisor $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ with normal crossings.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{KM}$ be the stack whose objects over a scheme S are triples $(\xi, C, \sigma : C \to \xi \times^G F)$, where ξ is a G-bundle over $E \times S$, C is an S-object of $\mathcal{M}$ and σ is a stable map (in the sense of Kontsevich) such that the composite $C \to \xi \times^G F \to E$ is the contraction of C to its canonical model and $\deg \sigma^* L_{\varpi_i} = 0$ for each fundamental dominant weight ϖ_i. (Here, degree means total degree, the sum of the degrees on each component of C.)

Proposition 4.1 *(1) $s = pr_1 : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}$ is proper and has finite relative automorphism group schemes.*
(2) $pr_2 : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{M}$ is smooth.
(3) There is a smooth morphism $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to Y$ that extends the morphism $\tilde{\pi} : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \to Y$ described previously.
(4) s is representable when restricted to the locus in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ where, on each geometric component of C, either σ is of degree 1 onto its image or σ is constant.

PROOF: The only thing which is neither obvious nor well known is (3). For this, it is enough to consider the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$ and then notice that the contraction $\mathcal{C} \to E \times \mathcal{M}$ is a projective and birational morphism of smooth stacks; it is well known that for such a morphism there is a blowing-down morphism from T-bundles on $\mathcal{C}$ to T bundles on $E \times \mathcal{M}$. So π exists; its smoothness is an immediate consequence of the fact that curves are 1-dimensional. □

We shall see that (4) is enough to show that s is representable over some neighbourhood of the locus of regular or subregular unstable G-bundles.

The objects of Drinfeld's compactification $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$ are described as follows [BG]. Fix a G-bundle $\xi \to E$, with $q : \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\xi = \xi \times^G F \to E$ the associated F-bundle. For every dominant weight ϖ there is a line bundle L_ϖ on $\mathcal{F}$ and vector bundle $V_\varpi = q_* L_\varpi$ on E. A reduction of ξ to a B-bundle is a line sub-bundle M_ϖ of V_ϖ (the line generated by a vector of highest weight) such that the set of all subsheaves $M_\varpi \hookrightarrow V_\varpi$, as ϖ ranges over all dominant weights, satisfies the Plücker relations. An object of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$ consists of a G-bundle ξ and a collection of subsheaves $\{M_\varpi \hookrightarrow V_\varpi\}_\varpi$ where M_ϖ is invertible, but not necessarily a sub-bundle, that satisfies the Plücker relations; we also demand that the associated T-bundle should have degree 0. (This T-bundle is constructed as follows: objects of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$ are identified with sections of the $\overline{F}$-bundle $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \xi \times^G \overline{F} \to E$ that meet the open subscheme $\mathcal{F}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. The open embedding $F \hookrightarrow \overline{F}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^G(\overline{F}) \to \mathrm{Pic}^G(F)$, so there is a natural T-bundle associated to $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$; this is to be of degree 0.)

The projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D \to \mathcal{G}$ is proper and representable, while $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{KM} \to \mathcal{G}$ is also proper but can have non-trivial, but finite, relative automorphism groups.

Proposition 4.2 *There is a natural morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$ relative to $\mathcal{G}$.*

PROOF: An object of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ gives sub-line bundles M'_ϖ of V_ϖ on a pre-stable curve C where each M'_ϖ has degree 0; pushing these sheaves M_ϖ forward to E gives an object of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$. □

5 The BGSS picture for semi-stable bundles

In this section the base will be the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Then we can uniformize E as $E = \mathbb{G}_m/\langle q^{\mathbb{Z}}\rangle$. (To make our constructions in a more general context we could instead use the Tate curve. We shall return to this point of view in the future.) Fix a co-ordinate z on $\mathbb{G}_m$; then there is a morphism $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ defined by

$$\tilde{f}(g,x) = ((G \times \mathbb{G}_m)/\langle\gamma\rangle,\ \{x\} \times E) = (\xi, \sigma),$$

where $\gamma(h,z) = (ghg^{-1}, qz)$. The F-bundle $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ associated to the G-bundle $\xi = \tilde{f}(g,x)$ is the quotient $(F \times \mathbb{G}_m)/\langle\gamma\rangle$ where $\gamma(y,z) = (g(y), qz)$ for any point $y \in F$, so that indeed the image of $\{x\} \times E$ is a section of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$.

Note that the cocharacter $[\sigma]$ of the section $\sigma = \{x\} \times E$ of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ vanishes because after specializing to the identity element $g = 1$ of G it is certainly zero.

Lemma 5.1 *There is a commutative square*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{G} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}"] \\ T \arrow[r, "\phi"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ is the unramified covering induced from the uniformization $\mathbb{G}_m \to E \cong \mathrm{Pic}^0(E)$.

PROOF: This follows immediately from the definitions of the morphisms involved. □

Lemma 5.2 *$\tilde{\pi}$ is smooth.*

PROOF: This is a statement about the surjectivity of H^1 of a surjective map of coherent sheaves on the curve E. Since E is 1-dimensional, it is immediate. □

Now consider the commutative diagram ("the basic comparison")

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{G} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "pr_1"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[d, "s"] \\ G \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathcal{G}^{ss} \end{tikzcd}$$

where $f(g) = (G \times \mathbb{G}_m)/\langle\gamma\rangle$ with $\gamma(h,z) = (ghg^{-1}, qz)$. We shall show, in Theorem 5.5 below, that this is Cartesian when restricted to some neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the identity point e of G.

Lemma 5.3 *f is surjective and there is a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of e on which f is smooth.*

PROOF: The surjectivity of f was proved by Looijenga.

The codifferential f^* is, via Serre duality, a map $H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}^*) \to \mathfrak{g}^*$. For the trivial G-bundle this is, by inspection, an isomorphism. So f is smooth at e, and the result follows. □

Recall that pr_1 and s are projective, generically finite and dominant. In fact, s is finite over the locus $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}_{reg}$ of regular semi-stable bundles (those whose automorphism group is of minimal dimension, namely the rank of G).

Lemma 5.4 $\deg s = \#W = \deg pr_1$.

PROOF: We can assume that there are subgroups $T \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow G$ as in the usual set-up for split reductive groups, with a surjection $B \twoheadrightarrow T$. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "="'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}_{reg} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}^{ss}_{reg} \\
& Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

arising from the identification made above of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ with the stack $\mathcal{B}^0$. Now $\mathcal{G}^{ss}_{reg}$ has a geometric quotient that can be identified with $[Y/W]$ and each fibre of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \to Y$ is of the form $H^1(E, \underline{U})$ with $\underline{U}$ an affine bundle of connected unipotent groups; these fibres are therefore connected, and moreover they are points over the regular locus of Y. In other words, the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}_{reg} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}^{ss}_{reg} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r] & {[Y/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian, which is enough. □

Theorem 5.5 *The square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[d, "pr_1"'] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[d, "pr_1"] \\
G \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathcal{G}^{ss}
\end{tikzcd}$$

in the "basic comparison" is Cartesian when restricted to $\mathcal{U}$.

PROOF: Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[dr, "r"] \arrow[drr, "\tilde{f}"] \arrow[ddr, "pr_1"'] & & \\
& Z \arrow[r, "h'"'] \arrow[d, "q"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[d, "s"'] \\
& G \arrow[r, "f"] & \mathcal{G}^{ss}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Z is the fibre product. Since $\deg pr_1 = \deg s$, it follows that r is birational; clearly, r is proper. Also, h' is smooth on $\mathcal{U}_1 = q^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$, since f is smooth, so that $\mathcal{U}_1$ is smooth. Let $\mathcal{U}_2$ denote the open subvariety $= r^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1)$ of $\widetilde{G}$. Since $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to T$ is symplectic, taking Pfaffians shows that the canonical divisor class $K_{\widetilde{G}}$ is trivial, and then $K_{\mathcal{U}_2} \sim 0$. Any proper bimeromorphic map $X \to Y$ of analytic spaces where X is normal, Y is smooth and $K_X \sim 0$ is an isomorphism, so the restriction of r to $\mathcal{U}_2$ is an isomorphism and we are done. □

Theorem 5.6 *In a neighbourhood of the origin in* $[Y/W]$ *the squares*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}^{ss} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r] & {[Y/W]},
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] \\
T \arrow[r] & {[T/W]}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

are smoothly equivalent.

PROOF: Define $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^{ss} \times_{[Y/W]} [T/W]$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \times_Y T$, so that the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H} \arrow[d] \\
T \arrow[r] & {[T/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is the pull-back under $[T/W] \to [Y/W]$ of the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[r, "s"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}^{ss} \arrow[d] \\
Y \arrow[r] & {[Y/W]}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have shown that, near e, the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}^{ss}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian; the same argument shows that, near e, $G \to \mathcal{H}$ is smooth and that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian in a neighbourhood of e. So, in a neighbourhood of e,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] \\
T \arrow[r] & {[T/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is smoothly equivalent to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{H} \arrow[d] \\
T \arrow[r] & {[T/W].}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Comparing these two descriptions of the last square gives the result. □

6 Some deformations, and the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$

Assume that G is split and simply connected of type E_l, where $l = 5, 6, 7$ or 8, and that $T \subset G$ is a copy of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}_F^G, \mathbb{G}_m)$, a maximal torus in G. Fix also a Borel subgroup B containing T. For E_6, E_7 and E_8 we shall number the nodes of Dynkin diagrams as in Bourkaki's planches: the branch node is numbered 4 and the node adjacent to it on the long arm (or one of the two longer arms in the case of E_6) is numbered 5. For $E_5 = D_5$ we also number the branch node by 4, but 5 will refer to a node on one of the short arms.

Let $\mathcal{M}_1$ be the moduli stack of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, or any field of characteristic zero. Then E will denote either any elliptic curve over a field of characteristic zero, or the universal elliptic curve over $\mathcal{M}_1$.

Recall, from [FM], the construction of miniversal deformation spaces for certain unstable G-bundles over E. Suppose that $P \supset B$ is a maximal parabolic

subgroup, with a Levi factor $L = P \cap P^-$, where $P^- \supset B^-$ are opposite to the pair $P \supset B$. Fix an L-bundle $\eta \to E$ such that
(i) η is semi-stable,
(ii) $\xi = \eta \times^L G$ is an unstable G-bundle and
(iii) η is regular, in the sense of having an automorphism group of minimal dimension amongst all L-bundles that satisfy (i) and (ii).

Then consider the stack $\mathcal{H}$ whose objects are triples $(\eta, \mathcal{P}^-, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{P}^-$ is a P^--bundle over E and ϕ is an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{P}^-/U^- \to \eta$, where U^- is the unipotent radical of P^-. The forgetful map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$ is the stack of regular semi-stable L-bundles, is represented by an affine space bundle over $\mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$; the fibre over η is the non-abelian cohomology set $H^1(E, \underline{U}^-)$, where $\underline{U}^-$ is the principal U^--bundle $\eta \times^L U^-$. However, it is shown in [FM] that this set is naturally an affine space isomorphic to the cohomology vector space $H^1(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}^-)$, where $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}^-$ is the corresponding bundle of Lie algebras. (In *loc. cit.* the base is $\mathbf{Spec}\,\mathbb{C}$, but for this result their argument is valid over any field of characteristic zero.) In particular, $\mathcal{H}$ is algebraic and smooth.

Consider the morphism $\rho : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ given by $\rho(\mathcal{P}^-) = \mathcal{P}^- \times^{P^-} G$. Note that there is an action of the centre $Z(L)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ that covers the trivial action on $\mathcal{G}$, coming from the inclusion $Z(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^-$. The fixed locus of this action is the stack of triples $(\eta, \eta \times^L P^-, \phi_{can})$, which is a copy of $\mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$. That is, the affine bundle $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$ has a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action and a section consisting of fixed points for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action.

Now suppose that we start with an unstable G-bundle ξ that is either regular or subregular. Then, according to [FM] and [HS2], ξ can be written as $\xi = \eta \times^L G$ for some semi-stable L-bundle where L is a Levi subgroup of a maximal parabolic subgroup P that is associated to the node numbered 4 if ξ is regular and the node numbered 5 if ξ is subregular. Moreover, in each case $\mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg}$ has a connected component which is smoothly covered by a copy of $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$. In fact, this component is a neutral gerbe over $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{ss}_{reg} = \mathcal{L}^{ss}$ along it.

Therefore there is a versal deformation space $Z^\dagger$ for ξ that is an affine space bundle, on which $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts with strictly positive weights, over $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$. Let $Z^{\dagger ss}$ be the semistable locus in $Z^\dagger$; this is open, and its complement has codimension ≥ 2. There is a classifying morphism $\gamma : Z^{\dagger ss} \to [Y/W]$, where $Y = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}^G(F), E)$. This morphism is constant on $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits and therefore factors though $Z^{\dagger ss} \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$, where $\widehat{Y}$ is the cone over Y corresponding to some ample W-linearized line bundle $M \to Y$.

Lemma 6.1 *M is a positive multiple $M = L^{\otimes n}$ of the unique K-invariant line bundle L on Y whose dual defines the polarization corresponding to the unique primitive W-invariant quadratic form on* $\mathrm{Pic}^G(F)$.

PROOF: Since E is universal, or generic, the only W-invariant line bundles on Y that are defined over K are multiples of M. □

Lemma 6.2 *Suppose that V is an affine scheme, that X is normal and that U is an open subscheme of X whose complement has codimension at least 2. Then any morphism $U \to V$ extends uniquely to a morphism $X \to V$.*

PROOF: For any S, $\mathrm{Mor}(S,V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{ring}(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_V), \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_S))$. Now $\Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(U,\mathcal{O}_U)$ and the lemma follows. □

Proposition 6.3 *We can take $n = 1$ above.*

PROOF: Let $\widehat{Y}_n$ denote the cone over Y corresponding to $L^{\otimes n}$. According to Looijenga, $[\widehat{Y}_1/W]$ is an affine space $\mathbb{A}^l$ and the natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $[\widehat{Y}_1/W]$ is free in codimension 1; this follows from an inspection of the degrees of the fundamental invariants described by Looijenga.

Let Φ be a fibre of $Z^{\dagger ss} \to \mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$; this is the complement in some affine space $\mathbb{A}^N$ of a closed subscheme of codimension at least 2. Then the fibre product $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi \times_{[\widehat{Y}_n/W]} ([\widehat{Y}_1/W])$ yields, after deleting a suitable closed subscheme of Φ of codimension at least 2, a μ_n-bundle $\widetilde{\Phi} \to \Phi$. But $H^0(\mathcal{O}^*_\Phi) = K^*$ and $H^1(\Phi, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, so, via the Kummer sequence, this μ_n-bundle is trivial and the proposition is proved. □

That is, each regular or subregular unstable bundle has a versal deformation space that is an affine bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$, and after turning off $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$ the affine space that is the fibre admits a classifying morphism to the cone $[\widehat{Y}/W]$.

Now we construct the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$. Fix the G-homogeneous spaces F_4, F_5 associated to the nodes numbered $4, 5$ in the Dynkin diagram, and the space $F_{4,5}$, such that $F \to F_4$ and $F \to F_5$ are $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles, $F_4 \to F_{4,5}$ and $F_5 \to F_{4,5}$ are $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundles and $F \to F_{4,5}$ is a bundle whose fibre is SL_3/B.

The S-objects of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$ are triples (ξ, σ, τ) where ξ is a G-bundle $\xi \to E \times S$, σ a stable map $\sigma : C \to \mathcal{F}_5 = \xi \times^G F_5$ and τ a section of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ such that the associated T-bundle is of degree 0. Moreover, we require that under the projection $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \to \overline{\mathcal{F}}_5 = \xi \times^G \overline{F}_5$ the curves σ and τ should agree over a dense open subset of E. It follows from the definition that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$ is a closed substack of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\mathcal{G}} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$.

Proposition 6.4 *The morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^D$ factors through $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$.*

PROOF: This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 and the existence of a projection $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_5$ of bundles over E. □

7 Subregular unstable bundles and del Pezzo surfaces

We continue to consider a split simply connected simple exceptional group G of type E_r, an elliptic curve E that is universal, or generic, as in Section 6 and subregular unstable G-bundles $\xi \to E$. We know that there is a miniversal deformation space $Z^\dagger$ for ξ with a morphism $Z^\dagger \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ that preserves $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits; note that this is weaker than the statement that the morphism is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant.

Define $\widetilde{Z}^\dagger = Z^\dagger \times_{\mathcal{G}} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, with projection $\nu : \widetilde{Z}^\dagger \to Z^\dagger$. The existence of the morphisms $\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to Y$ and $Z^\dagger \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ gives a square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{Z}^\dagger \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
{[\widehat{Y}/W]} \arrow[r, dashed] & {[Y/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower broken arrow is the projection of a cone from its vertex to its base. On the open substack $\nu^{-1}(Z^{\dagger ss})$ this square is commutative, and so there is a factorization through the line bundle $L \to Y$ corresponding to the cone $\widehat{Y}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{Z}^\dagger \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
Z^\dagger \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \\
{[\widehat{Y}/W]} & \widehat{Y}. \arrow[l] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now restrict to the point $[0_E]$ of $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$; that is, turn off $\mathrm{Pic}^1(E)$. Let Z and $\widetilde{Z}$ denote the resulting spaces; they fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{Z} \arrow[dl, "\nu"'] \arrow[d, "\mu"] \arrow[dr] & \\
Z \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \\
{[\widehat{Y}/W]} & \widehat{Y}. \arrow[l] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\widetilde{Z} \to Y$ is smooth and the unstable locus $\widetilde{Z}^u$ in $\widetilde{Z}$ (defined as the inverse image $\nu^{-1}(Z^u)$ of the unstable locus Z^u in Z) equals $\mu^{-1}(0_L)$, where 0_L is the zero-section of L. Moreover, $\widetilde{Z}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{M}$, and $\widetilde{Z}^u$ is the inverse image of the discriminant divisor $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. So $\mu^{-1}(0_L)$ has normal crossings. However, we do not yet know that $\mu^{-1}(0_L)$ is reduced; this will be proved in Theorem 7.3 below.

By construction, Z^u is the inverse image of the vertex of the cone $[\widehat{Y}/W]$, so that Z^u is a surface with two strata: $Z^u = \{[\xi]\} \cup (Z^u - \{[\xi]\})$; the points of $Z^u - \{[\xi]\}$ correspond to regular unstable bundles, all of which are isomorphic to η.

The next result, giving a version of Steinberg's cross-section theorem which underlies the BGSS construction, is due to Friedman and Morgan [FM], Theorem 5.1.1; see also [Brü].

Theorem 7.1 *For any point η in $Z^u - \{[\xi]\}$ there is a section of the morphism $Z \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ through η.*

PROOF: Friedman and Morgan construct, for a regular unstable bundle η, a chart V that is isomorphic to $H^1(E, \operatorname{ad}\eta)$ and show that the classifying morphism $h : V \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$, which preserves $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits, indices an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(V) \to [Y/W]$. Since V and $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ are affine spaces, h is then an isomorphism.

By the openness of versality, Z is also a chart for η; that is, $Z \to \mathcal{G}$ is smooth at η, so an appropriate slice of Z through η gives the section required. □

Now fix the origin 0_Y of Y and the copy of $\mathbb{A}^1$ that is the line in L lying over 0_Y. Let $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ denote the restriction of $\widetilde{Z}$ to this line. That is, $\widetilde{X}$ is the fibre over 0_Y of the smooth morphism $\widetilde{Z} \to Y$, so that $\widetilde{X}$ is smooth, of dimension $\dim \widetilde{X} = \dim \widetilde{Z} - \dim Y = l + 3 - l = 3$, and the zero fibre $\widetilde{X}_0$ of $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ has normal crossings. Since $\mathbb{A}^1$ maps isomorphically to its image Γ in $[\widehat{Y}/W]$, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{X} \arrow[d, "f"'] \arrow[dr] & \\
X \arrow[r] & \mathbb{A}^1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where X is the image of $\widetilde{X}$ in Z and $\widetilde{X} \to X$ is proper and birational. Moreover, this diagram is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, since f takes $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits to $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits and is birational, $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is a fixed point and $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ is a torsor under the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action.

Lemma 7.2 *X has local complete intersection (LCI) singularities and X_0 is a normal surface with a unique singular point, namely the point $[\xi]$ corresponding to ξ.*

PROOF: X is the inverse image in Z of the line Γ in $[\widehat{Y}/W]$. Since $[\widehat{Y}/W] \cong \mathbb{A}^{l+1}$ and Z is smooth, the singularities of X are LCI. That $[\xi]$ is isolated follows from the fact that for the exceptional groups there is (up to choosing a point on $\operatorname{Pic}^1(E)$, which amounts to translating ξ by a point on E) just one regular unstable bundle have codimension ≥ 2 there. □

The next result is the crux of our paper. It is this that shows how exceptional groups lead naturally to weak del Pezzo surfaces. Note that, although the surface Q that arises might appear to be annoying, its presence enables us to recognize the other surface D_1 as a weak del Pezzo surface, because the intersection $D_1 \cap Q$ is a line on D_1: if Q, and so that line, were missing then we would only be able to deduce that D_1 is a surface whose first Chern class has a sign (modulo (-2)-curves). Later we find a smooth birational contraction where Q is contracted onto that line by using the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$; this contraction will be an isomorphism on D_1.

Theorem 7.3 *(1) The fibre $\widetilde{X}_0$ is semi-stable. That is, it is reduced with normal crossings.*
(2) $\widetilde{X}_0$ consists of three components: $\widetilde{X}_0 = D_0 + D_1 + Q$, where D_0 is the strict transform of X_0, Q is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and D_1 is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 9 - l$.
(3) D_1 contains a configuration of (-2)-curves of type G, disjoint from $D_0 + Q$.
(4) $D_0 \cap D_1$ is a smooth member A of $|-K_{D_1}|$ and $A \cong E$.
(5) $Q \cap D_0$ is a fibre β of one ruling on Q, $Q \cap D_1$ is a fibre γ of the other ruling, γ is the unique (-1)-curve on D_1 and for each projection $Q \to \mathbb{P}^1$ there is a smooth birational contraction of $\widetilde{X}$ that realizes that projection.

PROOF: Say $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \xi \times^G \mathfrak{g}$, the adjoint bundle over E, and $\mathcal{F}_\xi = \xi \times^G F$, the associated F-bundle over E. We know, from the computation of Helmke and Slodowy, that $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) = l + 4$; a proof of this appears in Remark 7.12 below.

Lemma 7.4 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote one of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ or $\mathcal{F}_\eta$. Suppose that σ is a section of $\mathcal{F}$ that gives a negative cocharacter $[\sigma]$.*
(1) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\eta$, then $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4^\vee$.
(2) If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\xi$, then $[\sigma] = -\alpha_5^\vee$ or $-\alpha_4^\vee - \alpha_5^\vee$.

PROOF: Suppose $\mathfrak{u}_\Sigma$ is an ideal in $\operatorname{Lie} B$, $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{u}_\Sigma \times^B \sigma$ the bundle attached to this by σ, Σ the corresponding subset of the set of positive roots and $2\rho_\Sigma = \sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma} \alpha$ the sum of the roots in Σ. Then, writing $[\sigma] = -\sum_{i\in I} r_i\alpha_i^\vee$, $r_i \geq 0$, we have

$$\deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma = (-[\sigma], 2\rho_\Sigma) = (\sum r_i\alpha_i^\vee, 2\rho_\Sigma).$$

As $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma$ is a sub-bundle of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) \geq \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma) \geq \deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma$. Moreover, we cannot have $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) = \deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma$. For suppose otherwise. Then $\deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma = \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma)$, and so the only possible indecomposable summands of the vector bundle $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma$ with slope zero must have non-trivial determinant; the remaining summands have strictly positive slope. Hence, writing the canonical reduction of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ as $\underline{\mathfrak{l}} + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}^+ + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}^-$, where $\underline{\mathfrak{l}} = z_{\underline{\mathfrak{l}}} + [\underline{\mathfrak{l}}, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}]$, $z_{\underline{\mathfrak{l}}}$ is a direct sum of trivial line bundles, $[\underline{\mathfrak{l}}, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}]$ is a direct sum of semi-stable bundles of degree 0, and $\underline{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ (resp. $\underline{\mathfrak{n}}^-$) is a direct sum of vector bundles of strictly positive (resp. negative) slopes, we must have $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma$ embedding into $[\underline{\mathfrak{l}}, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}] + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}^+$, and hence $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_\Sigma) \leq \dim H^0(E, [\underline{\mathfrak{l}}, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}] + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}^+) < \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Now let J be a subset of the simple roots, and take Σ_J to be the roots of the unipotent radical of the standard parabolic P_J generated by B and the negative simple roots $-\alpha$ for $\alpha \notin J$, and write ρ_J for ρ_{Σ_j}, $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_J$ for $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\Sigma_J}$. Then if α is simple, $\alpha \notin J$, $s_\alpha\Sigma_J = \Sigma_J$, $s_\alpha\alpha^\vee = -\alpha^\vee$, so $(\alpha^\vee, \rho_J) = 0$, and hence $2\rho_J = \sum_{j\in J} m_j\varpi_j$ for some integers m_j depending on J. In particular, $2\rho_{\{j\}} = m_j\varpi_j$

and $2\rho_{\{j,k\}} = a_j\varpi_j + a_k\varpi_k$ for some integers m_j, a_j, a_k. Evaluating on $\varpi_j^\vee$, we get $m_j(\varpi_j^\vee, \varpi_j) = (\varpi_j^\vee, 2\rho_{\{j\}}) = (\varpi_j^\vee, 2\rho)$, and so the numbers m_j can be read off from Bourbaki's planches; the vector $m = (m_1, ..., m_l)$ is given as follows, and $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) > \deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\{j\}} = r_j m_j$ for all j.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_8 : \ m &= (23, 17, 13, 9, 11, 14, 19, 29);\\
E_7 : \ m &= (17, 14, 11, 8, 10, 13, 18);\\
E_6 : \ m &= (12, 11, 9, 7, 9, 12);\\
D_5 : \ m &= (8, 7, 8, 6, 8).
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the regular unstable bundle $\mathcal{F}_\eta$. Then $l + 2 = \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}})$, $r_j = 0$ if $j \neq 4$, and $r_4 \leq 1$. Since η is unstable, Corollary 3.2 now gives $r_4 > 0$, so $r_4 = 1$. This proves (1).

Next consider the subregular unstable bundle $\mathcal{F}_\xi$. This is induced from a semi-stable $L_{\{5\}}$-bundle [HS2], and $l + 4 = \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}})$, so again $r_j \leq 1$.

Say that $\mathcal{B} \to E$ is the B-bundle corresponding to the section σ. Consider the T-bundle $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B} \times^B T$; then $\mathcal{B}$ is a lift of $\mathcal{T}$ from T to B, so is a class in some $H^1(E, U)$. This is an affine space with an origin and a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action for which the origin is the unique attractive fixed point. So $\mathcal{B}$ degenerates to a B-bundle $\mathcal{B}_0$, the canonical reduction of $\mathcal{B}_0 \times^B \underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $\underline{\mathfrak{l}}' + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}'^+ + \underline{\mathfrak{n}}'^-$, and $\underline{\mathfrak{l}}'$ is the centraliser of σ in the Lie algebra. But as this is the degeneration of ξ, $\underline{\mathfrak{l}}' \subseteq \underline{\mathfrak{l}}$, so $z_{\underline{\mathfrak{l}}'} \supseteq z_{\underline{\mathfrak{l}}}$, which is spanned by $\varpi_5^\vee$, and so $r_5 > 0$.

To finish, we need to show that if $j \neq 4$ or 5, then $r_j = 0$. If $G = E_7$ or E_8, this follows from $l + 4 = \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) > \dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\{j\}}) = r_j m_j$ and the values given above for the vector m. For $G = E_6$ or D_5 we can (eschewing science) calculate $\deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\{5,j\}}$ case by case, as necessary. If $G = E_6$ and $r_3 = 1$, we get $\deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\{5,j\}} = 10 = l + 4$, while for $G = D_5$ we get $\deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_{\{5,j\}} = 11, 9, 10$ when $j = 1, 2, 3$, which furnishes a contradiction.

We remark that a uniform treatment of all the combinatorics in this paper can be found in [GS]. □

Corollary 7.5 *The morphism $p : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{G}$ is representable over a neighbourhood of ξ.*

PROOF: From the lemma, any rational component of a stable map $C \to \mathcal{F}$ lying over ξ maps birationally to its image if it is not contracted to a point. So the map $C \to \mathcal{F}$ has no automorphisms. □

Now return to the proof of Theorem 7.3. The points x of $\widetilde{X}_0$ correspond to configurations $\sigma + \phi$, where σ is a section of either $\xi \times^G F$ or $\eta \times^G F$, where η is regular unstable. That is, $\eta \in X_0 - \{\xi\}$. If $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4^\vee$ then x lies over a point in $X_0 - \{\xi\}$ and otherwise it lies over ξ.

Since X_0 is reduced, by Theorem 7.1, it follows that

$$\widetilde{X}_0 = D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i D_i + \sum_{j=1}^{s} n_j Q_j,$$

where D_0 is the strict transform of X_0, the interior D_0^o of D_0 corresponds to $[\sigma] = -\alpha_5^\vee$, Q_j^o corresponds to $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4^\vee - \alpha_5^\vee$, for $i \geq 1$ the interior D_i^o corresponds to $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4^\vee$ and on each interior ϕ is irreducible.

In particular, each Q_j is isomorphic to the variety V of $(1,1)$ curves on SL_3/B that pass through a fixed point v_0 on SL_3/B (v_0 is also here a fixed point on σ), so that $Q_j \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 7.6 *For $j \geq 1$ the D_j are mutually disjoint, as are the Q_j.*

PROOF: If $D_j \cap D_k \neq \emptyset$, then $D_j \cap D_k$ is a curve δ that parametrizes sections τ of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ with $[\tau] = -\alpha_4^\vee - \alpha_5^\vee$; more precisely, δ parametrizes stable maps whose image is $\tau + \phi_4 + \phi_5$ with $[\phi_i] = \alpha_i^\vee$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{M}$ is smooth, the locus of stable maps whose image contains a curve of type ϕ_4 is of pure codimension; however, it contains δ and the surface D_0. If Q_j meets Q_k, then there is a section τ of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ with $[\tau] < -\alpha_4^\vee - \alpha_5^\vee$, which is impossible. □

Write $Q_j \cap D_0 = \beta_j$ and $Q_j \cap D_i = \gamma_{ji}$ for $i \geq 1$. Then β_j consists of stable maps $C = \sigma + C_1 + C_2 \to \mathcal{F}_\xi$ where C is a chain, $\sigma \cap C_2$ is empty, $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4 - \alpha_5$, $[C_1] = \alpha_4$ and $[C_2] = \alpha_5$, while $\sum_i \gamma_{ji}$ has the same description except that $[C_1] = \alpha_5$ and $[C_2] = \alpha_4$.

Lemma 7.7 *β_j is a ruling on Q_j and $\sum_i \gamma_{ji}$ is a single opposite ruling γ_j.*

PROOF: From the descriptions just given of β_j and γ_{ji}, it follows that $\beta_j + \sum_i \gamma_{ji}$ is the locus S of reducible curves in the variety V above. From the viewpoint of SL_3/B it is clear that S is the sum of two opposite rulings, and the lemma is proved. □

Since $D_0 \cap (\sum D_i + \sum Q_j)$ is connected, it follows that $\widetilde{X}_0 = D_0 + m_1 D_1 + \sum n_j Q_j$.

Set $A = D_0 \cap D_1$. We shall see later that A is isomorphic to the elliptic curve E but at the moment we know nothing about it, except that it is a smooth projective curve.

Lemma 7.8 *$s \geq 1$ and there is a non-constant morphism $t : A \to E$ of degree at least s.*

PROOF: If $s = 0$,then every point a of A corresponds to a curve in $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ of the form $\sigma + \phi_4 + \phi_5$. Say $\sigma \cap \phi_4 = \{x\}$, $\sigma \cap \phi_5 = \{y\}$. Then $x \neq y$ and we have a morphism $t : A \to E$, defined over $\mathcal{M}_1$, with $t(a) = x - y$. Since t is never zero it is constant. However, the only point of E defined over the stack $\mathcal{M}_1$ is the origin. So $s > 0$ and t is non-constant of degree at least s, since $t^{-1}(0_E) = A \cap (\cup_{j=1}^s \gamma_j)$. □

In particular, $g(A) \geq 1$. We shall see later that $g(A) = 1$, so that, because we are in characteristic zero, t is étale and therefore of degree exactly s. At the very end of this section we shall establish that $s = 1$ and deduce that A is isomorphic to E.

Now we prove that $\widetilde{X}_0$ is reduced, by computing intersection numbers in different ways and comparing the results. There are various tautological results that we shall use, such as the fact that $(Q_j.\gamma_j)_{\widetilde{X}} = (\gamma_j)^2_{D_1}$, where one intersection number is computed on $\widetilde{X}$ and the other on D_1; this follows from the facts that, if $C = S \cap T$ is the transverse intersection of two smooth surfaces S, T in a smooth 3-fold V, then $N_{C/V} \cong N_{C/S} \oplus N_{C/T}$ and $N_{S/V}|_C \cong N_{C/T}$.

Since the singular 3-fold X is Gorenstein (because LCI) and D_0 has multiplicity 1 in $\widetilde{X}_0$ we have $K_X \sim pD_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} q_j Q_j$ with $p, q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$K_{Q_j} \sim p\gamma_j + (q_j + 1)Q_j|_{Q_j},$$

by the adjunction formula. Then, again by the adjunction formula,

$$-2 = K_{Q_j}.\gamma_j = (q_j + 1)(\gamma_j)^2_{D_1},$$

$$-2 = K_{Q_j}.\beta_j = p + (q_j + 1)(\beta_j)^2_{D_0}.$$

Also

$$0 = \widetilde{X}_0.\gamma_j = (D_0.\gamma_j) + m_1(D_1.\gamma_j) + n_j(Q_j.\gamma_j) = 1 + n_j(\gamma_j)^2_{D_1},$$

so that $n_j = 1$ and $(\gamma_j)^2_{D_1} = -1$: γ_j is a (-1)-curve on the complete surface D_1. Moreover, $-2 = (q_j + 1)(-1)$, so that $q_j = 1$ and $-2 = p + 2(\beta_j)^2_{D_0}$. Also

$$0 = \widetilde{X}_0.\beta_j = (D_0.\beta_j) + m_1(D_1.\beta_j) + n_j(Q_j.\beta_j) = m_1 + (\beta_j)^2_{D_0}.$$

So $(\beta_j)^2_{D_0} = -m_1$ and $p = 2(m_1 - 1)$; in particular, p is even and non-negative. (It is at this point that we use Lemma 7.8; if $s = 0$ we would be unable to deduce anything about the numbers m_1 and p.)

The fact that $(Q_j.\gamma_j) = (\gamma_j)^2_{D_1} = -1$ means that there is a birational contraction $\widetilde{X} \to \overline{X}$ where $\overline{X}$ is smooth, each γ_j is contracted to a point and Q_j is contracted to a curve $\bar{\beta}_j$ that is the image of β_j. Moreover, there is a morphism $\overline{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ such that $\overline{X}_0 = \overline{D}_0 + m_1\overline{D}_1$ with $D_0 \to \overline{D}_0$ an isomorphism and $D_1 \to \overline{D}_1$ the contraction of the (-1)-curves γ_j. Let $\overline{A} \cong A$ denote the image of A.

Also, $K_{\overline{X}} \sim p\overline{D}_1$, so that

$$K_{\overline{D}_0} \sim (\overline{D}_0 + p\overline{D}_1)|_{\overline{D}_0} \sim (-m_1\overline{D}_1 + p\overline{D}_1)|_{\overline{D}_0} = (m_1 - 2)\overline{A}.$$

So, if $m_1 \geq 2$, X_0 has at most a du Val singularity at ξ. However, A is a component of the exceptional locus of $\overline{D}_0 \to X_0$ and $g(A) \geq 1$, so this is absurd; hence $m_1 = 1$ and we have proved that $\widetilde{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is semi-stable.

We also have $p = 2(m_1 - 1) = 0$, so that $(\beta_j)^2_{D_0} = -1$. It follows that there is a birational contraction $\widetilde{X} \to X^+$ of $Q_1, \ldots, Q_s$ onto $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s$. So $\overline{X} - \to X^+$ is a flop, $K_{X^+} \sim 0$ and $X_0^+ = D_0^+ + D_1^+$ with $D_1 \to D_1^+$ an isomorphism. By adjunction again, $K_{D_1^+} \sim D_1^+|_{D_1^+} = -A$ and $K_A \sim (-A + A) = 0$. So $g(A) = 1$

and, by the classification of surfaces, D_1 is a weak del Pezzo surface on which A is a smooth anti-canonical divisor. In particular, A is disjoint from any (-2)-curve on D_1. Say $\deg(D_1) = c_1(D_1)^2 = d$.

Since $g(A) = 1$, the morphism $t : A \to E$ is étale (we are in char. zero) and $\deg t = s$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 7.3 it remains to show that $s = 1$, that D_1 contains a (-2)-configuration of the same type as G and that $d = 9 - l$.

The morphism $X^+ \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, since $\widetilde{X} \to X^+$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant, and covers the standard action on $\mathbb{A}^1$. So $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts freely on $X^+ - X_0^+$. Also $X_0^+ = D_0^+ + D_1^+$ and, by construction, $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts freely on $D_0^+ - A$. So $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts freely on $X^+ - D_1^+$.

It is easy to see that if $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on a del Pezzo surface S, weak or not, and preserves a smooth member of $|-K_S|$, then the action on S is trivial. So $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts freely on $X^+ - D_1^+$ and trivially on the Cartier divisor D_1^+.

The next lemma and its proof are taken almost without change from a paper by Bass and Haboush [BH].

Lemma 7.9 *Assume that S is a normal K-variety and that D is a complete relatively LCI closed subscheme of S that contains every complete subscheme of S. Assume also that there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on S that is trivial on D and free on $S - D$ and that every closed $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant subscheme of S meets D. Then there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant isomorphism $S \to N_{D/S}$.*

PROOF: The aim is to reduce this to a situation where the arguments of [BH] can be applied.

We need to show that there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearized locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on D such that $S \cong \mathbf{Spec}\,\mathrm{Sym}^* \mathcal{E}$.

Choose an open cover $S = \cup_{i\in I} S_i$ by affine $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant open subschemes $S^{(i)} = \mathbf{Spec}\, R^{(i)}$ such that each $D^{(i)} = D \cap S^{(i)}$ is, if non-empty, defined by a regular sequence of length $r = \operatorname{codim}(D, S)$ in $R^{(i)}$. Say $J = \{j \in I | D^{(j)} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then $S - \cup_{j\in J} S^{(j)}$ is a closed $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant subscheme of S that is disjoint from D, so is empty. So we can suppose that each $D^{(i)}$ is non-empty.

The existence of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $R^{(i)} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} R_n^{(i)}$. The ideal of $D^{(i)}$ in $S^{(i)}$ is $R_+^{(i)} = \oplus_{n>0} R_n^{(i)}$, so that $D^{(i)} = \mathbf{Spec}\, R_0^{(i}$, where we regard $R_0^{(i}$ both as a subring of $R^{(i)}$ and as the quotient $R^{(i)}/R_+^{(i)}$.

That is, there is a unique $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant retraction $r_i : S^{(i)} \to D^{(i)}$. Since they are unique, these retractions glue to a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant retraction $r : S \to D$. Note that, as a subscheme of S, D contains all the closed $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits in S.

Now we follow [BH], pp. 474 *et seq.* We have $S = \mathbf{Spec}\,\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R} = \oplus_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{R}_n$, a graded sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_D$-algebras. Set $\mathcal{I} = \oplus_{n>0} \mathcal{R}_n$, the ideal sheaf of the subscheme D of S, $\mathcal{N}^\vee = \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ and $Y = \mathbf{Spec}\,\mathrm{Sym}^* \mathcal{N}^\vee$, the normal bundle. Since the surjection of $\mathbb{G}_{m,D}$-linearized quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_D$-sheaves is split, there is a $\mathbb{G}_{m,D}$-

equivariant diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[dl, "q"] \\ D. & \end{tikzcd}$$

Then u is étale; the argument of [BH], p. 474, applies directly. Let $D_0 \hookrightarrow Y$ be the zero section of q.

We now claim that u is finite. To see this, take $\widetilde{S}$ to be the normalization of Y in the function field of S. Then there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant open embedding $S \hookrightarrow \widetilde{S}$ and a finite dominant morphism $\nu : \widetilde{S} \to Y$ extending u. Say $V = \widetilde{S} - S$; this is closed and $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant in Y. Since $D \subset S$ and D is complete, D is disjoint from V. Assume that $V \neq \emptyset$; then, over any affine chart of Y, there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant function f on $\widetilde{S}$ such that $f|_D = 0$ and $f|_V = 1$. However, $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}^{\mathbb{G}_m} = \mathcal{O}_D$, so that $V = \emptyset$ and the claim is established.

Then $u^{-1}(D_0) = D \coprod D'$ with D' finite and étale over D_0. The argument just given, to show that $V = \emptyset$, can now be applied to show that $D' = \emptyset$. So u has degree 1 over D, and so is of degree 1 everywhere. This proves the lemma. □

Part (3) of the next result is due to Helmke and Slodowy [HS1], [HS3]; they also include a brief outline of a proof.

Corollary 7.10 *(1) There are $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant isomorphisms $X^+ \to N_{D_1^+/X^+} \to \omega_{D_1^+}$.*
(2) X is the cone over the anti-canonical model of D_1^+.
(3) [HS] X_0 is isomorphic to the cone over the degree d embedding of E by the complete linear system $|d[0_E]|$.

PROOF: The first isomorphism of (1) is a special case of the lemma and the second is a consequence of the adjunction formula. (2) is an immediate consequence. For (3), observe that X_0 is a section of the cone X by a homogeneous linear form. □

Helmke and Slodowy also sketch a proof that $Z \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ is isomorphic to the positive weight part of a miniversal deformation of X_0; we shall show below how to re-derive this result from ours.

Note that X_0 is an LCI simply elliptic singularity, so that, by the classification of these objects, $d \leq 4$, $\operatorname{embdim} X_0 = \max\{d, 3\}$ and $\operatorname{mult} X_0 = \max\{d, 2\}$.

Proposition 7.11 *$d = 9 - l$.*

PROOF: The point is to show that X_0 has, first, the correct embedding dimension and, then, the correct multiplicity.

Recall that $Z \cong \mathbb{A}^{l+3}$ and that $X \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is obtained from a morphism $\pi : Z \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ that preserves $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits by restricting to the line in $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ that goes through the origin of Y. So X_0 is embedded in Z as the fibre over the vertex of $[\widehat{Y}/W]$. By Looijenga's result, $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{l+1}$ and the weights of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ are the coefficients in the biggest root in the affine Dynkin diagram, which are given by this table:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} E_8: & 1 & 2^2 & 3^2 & 4^2 & 5 \quad 6 \\ E_7: & 1^2 & 2^3 & 3^2 & 4 & \\ E_6: & 1^3 & 2^3 & 3 & & \\ D_5: & 1^4 & 2^2 & & & \end{array}$$

We also need to know the weights of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action coming from the action of the centre $Z(L)$ of the Levi subgroup L of the maximal parabolic $P = P_5$, the one that is associated to the node numbered 5, on Z.

Lemma 7.12 *The weights of the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on Z are as follows, where, as usual, the exponent denotes the multiplicity of the relevant weight:*

$$\begin{array}{llllll} E_8: & 1^2 & 2^3 & 3^3 & 4^2 & 5 \\ E_7: & 1^4 & 2^4 & 3^2 & & \\ E_6: & 1^6 & 2^3 & & & \\ E_6: & 1^6 & 2^3 & & & \\ D_5: & 1^8 & & & & \end{array}$$

PROOF: As usual, we have $\underline{\mathfrak{g}} = \underline{\mathfrak{l}} \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{u}} \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{u}}^*$, where $\underline{\mathfrak{l}}$ consists of summands of degree 0, $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}$ consists of summands of positive degree, the fibre of $\underline{\mathfrak{l}} \oplus \underline{\mathfrak{u}}$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(P)$ and the fibre of $\underline{\mathfrak{l}}$ is $\mathrm{Lie}(L)$. Consider the grading of $\underline{\mathfrak{u}} = \oplus_{0<i\leq r} \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i$ defined by the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ action via $\varpi_5^\vee$. Then the fiber of $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i$ is the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of root spaces where α runs over the set $I_5(i)$ of roots such that α_5 has coefficient exactly i in α, and r is the multiplicity of α_5 in the biggest root.

Note that $\mathfrak{u}_i$ is a representation of L, so that $\sum_{\alpha \in I_5(i)} \alpha$ is trivial on the derived subgroup $[L, L]$ of L. Therefore $\sum_{\alpha \in I_5(i)} \alpha = n_i \varpi_5$, where n_i depends on i and G.

Put $d_i = \deg \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i$, so that $d_i = (\sum_{\alpha \in I_5(i)} \alpha).\alpha_5 = n_i$. But $n_i(\varpi_5.\varpi_5) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_5(i)} (\alpha.\varpi_5) = i \# I_5(i)$, and then an inspection of Bourbaki's planches shows that for each i, $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i$ is a bundle of irreducible representations of L, and that r and the d_i are given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{llccc} E_8: & r = 5, & (d_1, ..., d_5) & = & (2,3,3,2,1) \\ E_7: & r = 3, & (d_1, d_2, d_3) & = & (4,4,2) \\ E_6: & r = 2, & (d_1, d_2) & = & (6,3) \\ D_5: & r = 1, & d_1 & = & 8. \end{array}$$

From the description above of $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, we know that $H^1(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}) = \oplus_i H^1(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i) = 0$, so by Riemann–Roch $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}_i}) = \chi(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i) = d_i$, and as $Z(L) = \mathbb{G}_m$ acts with weight i on the bundle $\underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i$ and so on $H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}}_i)$, the lemma is proved. □

Remark: Note that $H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) = H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}) \oplus H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{u}})$ and $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{l}}) = 1$, as ξ_L is determined by a $GL_p \times GL_q \times GL_r$ bundle (η_p, η_q, η_r) with $\det \eta_p = \det \eta_q = \det \eta_r$ of degree 1 and $(p, q, r) = (1, 5, l-4)$. This shows that $\dim H^0(E, \underline{\mathfrak{g}}) = l+4$.

Lemma 7.13 *If $f : A \to B$ is an inclusion of positively graded domains such that the corresponding morphism F of schemes takes $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits to $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits, then there is an integer $e = e(f) > 0$ such that $f(A_n) \subset B_{en}$ for all n.*

PROOF: That F preserves $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbits means that for every n, there exists n' such that $f(A_n) \subset B_{n'}$. Suppose that $x \in A_m$ and $y \in A_n$; then

$$n \deg f(x) = \deg f(x^n) = \deg f(y^m) = m \deg f(y),$$

so that $\deg f(x)/\deg f(y) = m/n = \deg x \deg y$, which proves the lemma. □

We apply this lemma when $A = K[\widehat{Y}]^W$ and $B = K[Z]$.

Lemma 7.14 *For each group $G = E_8, ..., D_5$ the integer e is equal to 1.*

PROOF: We check the cases separately. For E_8 the tables above show that A, B are weighted polynomial rings, as follows: $A = K[1, 2^2, 3^2, 4^2, 5, 6]$ and $B = K[1^2, 2^3, 3^3, 4^2, 5]$. Then the fact that the fibre X_0 over the origin of $\mathbf{Spec}\, B \to \mathbf{Spec}\, A$ has embedding dimension at most 4 forces $e = 1$ in this case, and $e = 1$ in all the other cases for exactly similar reasons. □

Remark: It is possible instead to give a case-free proof of this lemma using the interpretation of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ as a determinant line bundle; we plan to return to this approach [GS].

Therefore X_0 is embedded $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariantly as a complete intersection in an affine space as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} E_8: & X_0 & = & (6) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^3(1,2,3) \\ E_7: & X_0 & = & (4) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^3(1^2,2) \\ E_6: & X_0 & = & (3) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^3(1^3) \\ D_5: & X_0 & = & (2,2) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^4(1^4). \end{array}$$

It follows that X_0 has the correct multiplicity, and the proof of Proposition 7.11 is complete. □

Next, we recover the result of Helmke and Slodowy [HS] on deformations of simply elliptic singularities.

Theorem 7.15 *$Z \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ is the positive weight part of a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant miniversal deformation of X_0.*

PROOF: Suppose that $V \to S$ is the positive weight part of a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant miniversal deformation of X_0. Certainly $Z \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant and of positive weight, so there is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & V \arrow[d] \\
{[\widehat{Y}/W]} \arrow[r, "a"] & S.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Inspection shows that the weights of the $\mathbb{G}_m$ action on the affine spaces $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ and S are the same, so that a is an isomorphism. □

In particular, the family $X \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is induced by some morphism $\phi : \mathbb{A}^1 \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$; the derivative of ϕ at 0 is unique, but not ϕ.

Corollary 7.16 *(1) The family $X \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is the unique $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant deformation of X_0 such that any one of the induced morphisms $\phi : \mathbb{A}^1 \to S$ is a closed embedding and for $t \neq 0$ the fibre X_t has a du Val singularity of type G.*
(2) For $t \neq 0$, $\widetilde{X}_t$ is the minimal resolution of X_t; it contains a (-2)-configuration of type G.

PROOF: (1) We examine $V \to S$ case by case. For example, when $G = E_8$ and $d = 1$, then X_0 is the hypersurface $z^2 + y^3 + x^6 + \delta x^4 y = 0$, where $\delta = \delta(j)$ is some explicit function of j, and $V \to S$ is

$$z^2 + y^3 + x^6 + \delta x^4 y + \sum_0^4 a_i x^i + y \sum_0^3 b_k x^k = 0,$$

with $S = \mathbf{Spec}\, K[a, b]$. Setting $a_i = b_0 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$ and $b_3 = t$ gives $X \to \mathbb{A}^1$:

$$z^2 + y^3 + x^6 + \delta x^4 y + t x^3 y = 0.$$

It is now a straightforward verification to see that for $t \neq 0$, X_t has a singularity of type E_8 at $x = y = z = 0$.

(2) is a corollary of (1) and Theorem 5.6. □

Remark: This corollary can also be proved conceptually, as follows. The copy of $\mathbb{A}^1$ that appears is the line through the origin $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ and hence parametrizes S-equivalence classes of unipotent bundles. On the other hand, general considerations of deformation theory show that the automorphism group of each of these bundles has dimension strictly less than that of ξ, which is $l + 4$. Therefore they are subregular and Theorem 5.6 can be applied.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 7.3 by showing that $s = 1$. At this point we know that D_1 is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 9 - l$, that it contains $s \geq 1$ disjoint lines and that it contains a (-2)-configuration Δ of type $G = E_l$.

Proposition 7.17 *Suppose that D is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $9-l$ and contains a (-2)-configuration Δ of type G. Then*
(1) D contains a unique line γ;
(2) if $\delta_1,...,\delta_l$ are the irreducible components of Δ, numbered as in Bourbaki's planches, then γ meets Δ in δ_l and in no other component;
(3) $\{\delta_1,...,\delta_l,\gamma\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathrm{NS}(D)$;
(4) given a smooth member A of $|-K_D|$, D is obtained by embedding A in $\mathbb{P}^2$ via $|3[0_A]|$ and then blowing up l times in succession, with the centre of each blow-up being the origin 0_A on the strict transform of A.

PROOF: This is well known, but we include a proof for lack of a convenient reference.

Existence of a line: D is a specialization of a smooth del Pezzo D_t where $-K_{D_t}$ is ample, which certainly contains lines. So D does also.

Uniqueness: since $\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{NS}(D) = \operatorname{rank}\Delta + 1$, $\mathrm{NS}(D)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is spanned by L and Δ for any line L. Moreover, $L.\delta \geq 1$ for any positive root δ with $\operatorname{Supp}\delta = \Delta$. Put $H = -K_D$ and consider cases separately.
$d = 1$. Then every simple root has multiplicity ≥ 2 in the biggest root δ_{max}, so $L.\delta_{max} \geq 2$. Then $H^2 = 1 = H.(L+\delta_{max})$ and $(L+\delta_{max})^2 \geq 1$, so that, by the index theorem, $L+\delta_{max} \sim H \sim M+\delta_{max}$ for any lines L, M. So $L \sim M$ and then $L = M$.
$d \geq 2$. Then $(L+\delta)^2 \leq 0$ for any line L and any effective root δ, by the index theorem, since $H.(L_\delta) = 1$ and $H^2 \geq 2$. Suppose that δ, ϵ are effective roots with $\operatorname{Supp}\delta = \operatorname{Supp}\epsilon = \Delta$ and that L, M are distinct lines; then $L.\delta,\ M.\delta \geq 1$ and $(L+M+\delta)^2 \geq 2$. But $H.(L+M+\delta) = 2 \leq H^2$, so that (index) $L+M+\delta \sim H \sim L+M+\epsilon$ and $\delta \sim \epsilon$, which is absurd, and uniqueness is established.

Moreover, the line L meets Δ in a simple root δ of minimal multiplicity (2 if $l = 8$, 1 otherwise). Then successive contraction of $L, \delta_l, ..., \delta_4, \delta_3, \delta_1$, in that order, is the inverse of the blow-up described in (4), and also proves (3). □

In particular, $s = 1$ and Theorem 7.3 is proved. □

The various strata of the divisor $\widetilde{X}_0 = D_0 + D_1 + Q$ can be interpreted as follows.
(1) The unique triple point, which is the origin 0_A of $A = D_0 \cap D_1 \cong E$, is the stable map $f : C = \sigma + C_1 + C_4 + C_5 \to \mathcal{F}_\xi$ where C is a tree of type D_4 with C_1 the branch vertex, $[\sigma] = -\alpha_4^\vee - \alpha_5^\vee$, C_1 is collapsed to a point, and C_r, for $r = 4$ or 5, maps isomorphically to a curve whose cocharacter is $\alpha_r^\vee$.
(2) The non-zero points of A are stable maps $f : C = \sigma + C_4 + C_5 \to \mathcal{F}_\xi$ where $C_4 \cap \sigma \neq C_5 \cap \sigma$ and C_r is as in (1). The point $C_4 \cap \sigma$ is always the origin of the copy σ of E, while the point $C_5 \cap \sigma$ describes the isomorphism $A \to E$.
(3) $D_0 \cap Q$ and $D_1 \cap Q$ are interpreted in Lemma 7.7 and the discussion immediately preceding.

8 Versal deformations of surfaces

In this section the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. We begin by summarizing some results of Mérindol [M] that show how $\mathcal{Y}$ is a moduli space of weak del Pezzo surfaces with some additional structure.

Return to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \widetilde{Z} \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
Z \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] & Y \\
{[\widehat{Y}/W]} & \widehat{Y} \arrow[l] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

of Section 7. We know, from the results of the previous section, that over the zero section 0_L of L the fibres of $\widetilde{Z} \to L$ are semi-stable and have 3 components D_0, D_1 and Q where Q is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and D_1 is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $9 - l$. There is a birational contraction $\widetilde{Z} \to Z^+$ of Q onto a line in D_1. Let D_i^+ be the image of D_i, so that $D_1 \to D_1^+$ is an isomorphism and the image of Q in D_1^+ is a distinguished line γ. This contraction fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & Z^+ \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \\
 & Z \arrow[r] & {[\widehat{Y}/W]} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Z^+ is smooth and $\pi : Z^+ \to L$ is semi-stable and smooth over $L - 0_L$, while $\pi^{-1}(0_L) = D_0^+ + D_1^+$. The fibre Z_0 over the vertex of $[\widehat{Y}/W]$ is obtained from D_0^+ by contracting the curve $D_0^+ \cap D_1^+$, which is a copy A of E, and so has a simply elliptic singularity.

We have the following slight generalization of Corollary 7.10; it is proved in the same way.

Proposition 8.1 *There are Y-isomorphisms $Z^+ \overset{\cong}{\to} N_{D_1^+/Z^+} \overset{\cong}{\to} \omega_{D_1^+}$.*

Now let $j(E)$ vary. That is, take E to be the universal elliptic curve over $\mathcal{M}_1$. Then the preceding diagram becomes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & \mathcal{Z}^+ \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{L} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y} \\
 & \mathcal{Z} \arrow[r] & {[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Pic}_F^G, E)$ and $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is the line bundle analogous to $L \to Y$.

The morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathcal{Z}^+$ is the contraction of a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{Y}$ to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\gamma \to \mathcal{Y}$; in fact, $\mathcal{D}_1^+ \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a family of smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree $d = 9 - l$ on which there is a family γ of marked lines and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathcal{Z}^+$ is the blow-up along γ.

The preceding proposition generalizes straightforwardly.

Proposition 8.2 *There are $\mathcal{Y}$-isomorphisms $\mathcal{Z}^+ \overset{\cong}{\to} N_{\mathcal{D}_1^+/\mathcal{Z}^+} \overset{\cong}{\to} \omega_{\mathcal{D}_1^+/\mathcal{Y}}$.*

We have $\pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{D}_0^+ + \mathcal{D}_1^+$ and there is a divisor $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_0^+ \cap \mathcal{D}_1^+$ on $\mathcal{D}_1^+$ which is a family of anti-canonical curves; its fibre over a point of $\mathcal{Y}$ is the corresponding elliptic curve. That is, $\mathcal{A} = E \times \mathcal{Y}$.

Let $\rho : \mathcal{Z}^+ \to \mathcal{D}_1^+$ be the projection of $N_{\mathcal{D}_1^+/\mathcal{Z}^+}$ to its base. By construction, there is a smooth morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$; this restricts to give a morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} - \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{Z}^+ - \pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}.$$

We identify $\mathcal{D}_1^+$ with the zero section of $N_{\mathcal{D}_1^+/\mathcal{Z}^+}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^+$ with $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Recall also that $\mathcal{D}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1^+$ is an isomorphism.

The next result shows that line bundles on F descend to $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}_{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$. Recall that $\widetilde{G}$ is the incidence subvariety of $G \times F$.

Proposition 8.3 *There is an embedding $\mathrm{Pic}_F^G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}}$ whose composite with $\tilde{f}^* : \mathrm{Pic}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}} \to \mathrm{Pic}_{\widetilde{G}} \cong \mathrm{Pic}_F^G$ is the identity.*

PROOF: A point P of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ consists of a G-bundle ξ over an elliptic curve E and a section σ of $\mathcal{F}_\xi \to E$ whose cocharacter $[\sigma]$ vanishes. On the other hand, an element ϖ of Pic_F^G gives a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\varpi$ on $\mathcal{F}_\xi$; evaluating $\mathcal{L}_\varpi$ at the origin 0_σ of σ gives a line, so a line bundle $\mathcal{M}_\varpi$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ with $\mathcal{M}_\varpi(P) = \mathcal{L}_\varpi(0_\sigma)$.

Now $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$ is given by $\tilde{f}(g,x) = (\xi, \sigma) = ((G \times \mathbb{G}_m)/\langle\gamma\rangle, \{x\} \times E)$, where $\gamma(h,z) = (hg, qz)$. So $\mathcal{M}_\varpi(\xi,\sigma) = \mathcal{L}_\varpi(0_\sigma) = \varpi(x)$, as required. □

Pull back to $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}^+ - \pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}))$ via the morphism $\mathcal{Z}^+ - \pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{ss}$; we get a homomorphism $\lambda : \mathrm{Pic}_F^G \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Z}^+ - \pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}}))$. Now fix an elliptic curve E and return to the restriction $Z^+ \to \mathbb{A}^1$ of $\mathcal{Z}^+$ to the line $\mathbb{A}^1$ in L over the origin 0_Y. For $t \neq 0$, the fibre Z_t^+ is a weak del Pezzo surface of degree $9 - l$ that contains a (-2)-configuration Δ_t of type $G = E_l$; since $Z^+ \cong N_{D_1^+/Z^+}$, Δ_t specializes to an isomorphic such configuration Δ_0 on D_1^+.

Regard Z_t^+ as a surface contained in $\mathcal{Z}^+ - \pi^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{L}})$. By Theorem 5.5, Δ_t is identified with a subregular unipotent Springer fibre associated to G and, for any $\varpi \in \mathrm{Pic}_F^G$, $\lambda(\varpi)$ is identified with ϖ.

We have therefore proved the following result.

Proposition 8.4 *There are subgroups $\widetilde{H}$ of $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}})$ and H^+ of $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^+)$ and a* *commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}\{[\mathcal{D}_0],[\mathcal{D}_1],[\mathcal{Q}]\} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{H} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Pic}_F^G \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
\mathbb{Z}\{[\mathcal{D}_0^+],[\mathcal{D}_1^+]\} \arrow[r] & H^+ \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pic}_F^G \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the two leftmost vertical arrows are induced by the blowing-down $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathcal{Z}^+$*. In particular,* $[\mathcal{Q}] \mapsto 0$.

Restrict from $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ to $\mathcal{D}_1$. We get a subgroup $H \subset \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{D}_1}$ and, since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_0|_{\mathcal{D}_1} \sim \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y}}$, an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{A}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\gamma] \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathrm{Pic}_F^G \longrightarrow 0$$

such that, for any $\Lambda \in H$ and component δ_i of Δ, $(\Lambda.\delta_i)_{\mathcal{D}_1} = (\chi(\Lambda).\alpha_i^\vee)$, where $\alpha_i^\vee$ is the simple coroot corresponding to δ_i.

Corollary 8.5 *The natural homomorphism* $H \to \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y})$ *of sheaves of commutative groups on* $\mathcal{Y}$ *is surjective, and* $\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y})$ *is constant.*

PROOF: It is enough to prove surjectivity for one (geometric) generalized del Pezzo surface D_1. Choose D_1 lying over 0_Y; this surface contains a configuration Δ_0 of type G and a line γ, and the result now follows from Proposition 7.17. □

Take D_1 as in the proof just given. Let $I_{1,l}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\delta_1, ..., \delta_l, \gamma\}$ and inner product given by the intersection numbers on D_1; then $I_{1,l}$ is isomorphic to the standard odd unimodular hyperbolic lattice of rank $1+l$ and the basis just given describes an isometry $\phi : I_{1,l} \to \mathrm{NS}(D_1)$. Under ϕ^{-1} the exceptional curves of the blow-up $D_1 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ described in Proposition 7.17 are $\gamma, \gamma+\delta_l, ..., \gamma+\delta_l+\cdots+\delta_3$ and $\gamma+\delta_l+\cdots+\delta_3+\delta_1$, while δ_2 is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Since $\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y})$ is constant and $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y})$ is an isomorphism, ϕ extends to an isometry $\phi : I_{1,l} \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y})$. Also, $\mathcal{D}_1$ contains an anti-canonical divisor $\mathcal{A}$ that is a copy of $E \times \mathcal{Y}$; the isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \to E \times \mathcal{Y}$ is provided by the base point $\gamma \cap \mathcal{A}$.

Restricting to $\mathcal{A}$ provides a homomorphism

$$\psi : I_{1,l} \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Y}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(E) = \coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Pic}^n(E).$$

Define $\kappa_l = \phi^{-1}[\omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{D}_1/\mathcal{Y}}]$, the anti-canonical class. Then

$$\kappa_l = \delta_1 + \delta_2 + 2\delta_3 + 3\sum_{i\geq 4} \delta_i + 3\gamma.$$

Note that $I_{1,l}/\mathbb{Z}\kappa_l$ is the weight lattice P, while $\oplus\mathbb{Z}\delta_i$ is the root lattice Q. Consider the positive cone $\mathcal{C}_+$ in $I_{1,l}\otimes\mathbb{R}$ defined by the conditions $(v,v)\geq 0$, $v.\kappa_l\geq 0$; this inherits a finite decomposition into chambers from the decomposition of $P\otimes\mathbb{R}$ into fundamental domains for the W-action. One such is the chamber $\mathcal{C}_0$ defined by the inequalities $(v,\delta_i)\geq 0$ for all i.

There is a homomorphism $\overline{\psi}:P\to \mathrm{Pic}(E)/\mathbb{Z}.\psi(\kappa_l)$ induced by ψ; since $\psi(\kappa_l)\in\mathrm{Pic}^d(E)$, $\overline{\psi}$ is a homomorphism $\overline{\psi}:P\to E\oplus\mathbb{Z}/d$. Since E has no global d-torsion point (if $d>1$) there is a canonical projection $E\oplus\mathbb{Z}/d\to E$, and so a homomorphism $\psi':P\to E$ induced by ψ.

Now consider the stack $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ of *marked* generalized del Pezzo surfaces; by definition, the objects consist of:
(1) a family $f:X\to S$ of weak del Pezzo surfaces;
(2) an embedding $i:E\times S\hookrightarrow X$ whose image $\mathcal{A}$ defines a class in $[\omega_{X/S}^{-1}]$;
(3) an isometry $\phi:I_{1,l}\to\mathrm{Pic}(X/S)$ such that $\phi(\gamma)|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is linearly equivalent to $[0_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and $\phi(\delta_j)$ has degree 0 for all j.

In this language, $(\mathcal{D}_1\to\mathcal{Y},\ \mathcal{A}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{D}_1,\ \phi)$ is an object of $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$, so defines a morphism $H:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$.

There is also a morphism $F:\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{Y}$ defined by $(f,i,\phi)\mapsto\psi'$, where ψ' is constructed exactly as above, and a morphism $G:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$, as follows:

Given $\psi':P\to E$, construct $\psi:I_{1,l}\to\mathrm{Pic}(E)$ by

$$\psi(\gamma)=[0_E],\ \psi(\delta_i)=\psi'(\delta_i\pmod{\mathbb{Z}\kappa_l}).$$

Then $\psi(\kappa_l)$ has degree 3. Embed $E\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^2$ via $|\psi(\kappa_l)|$ and then make l blow-ups $\mathbb{P}^2$ along the points on E defined by the degree 1 classes

$$\psi(\gamma+\delta_l+\cdots+\delta_3+\delta_1),\psi(\gamma+\delta_l+\cdots+\delta_3),...,\psi(\gamma+\delta_l),\psi(\gamma)$$

in that order.

The next result is well known.

Proposition 8.6 *$F\circ G=1_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and F exhibits $\mathcal{Y}$ as the separated Deligne–Mumford stack underlying $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$.*

That is, $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ is obtained by glueing together copies of $\mathcal{Y}$ along open substacks. On the locus of del Pezzo surfaces that have no (-2)-curves the stacks $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are isomorphic but $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ fails to be separated when (-2) curves appear.

Proposition 8.7 *$F\circ H=1_{\mathcal{Y}}$.*

PROOF: $F\circ H$ takes $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$ to $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$, so is a homomorphism of abelian schemes. Fix a geometric elliptic curve E; then over 0_Y the fibre of $\mathcal{D}_1$ contains a (-2)-configuration of type G. Return to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Z}^+ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{L} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Y} \\
\mathcal{Z} \arrow[r] & {[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W].}
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from Theorem 7.15 that $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$, which is a family of affine surfaces, has du Val singularities of type G exactly over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{A}^1$ is the line in the cone $[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$ that lies over the image of $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$ in $[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$. Therefore $0_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is isolated in the fibre $(F \circ H)^{-1}(0_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and then $F \circ H$ is an isomorphism. Since $F \circ H$ is W-equivariant it is then ± 1.

Suppose that $F \circ H = -1$. Put $S = \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P},G} \mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ is universal, and consider the $\mathcal{Y}$-isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_1 \to S \times_{\mathcal{Y},F\circ H} \mathcal{Y}$. Since $F \circ H = -1$, this takes the ample cone on $\mathcal{D}_1$ to the negative of the ample cone on S. This is impossible, so $F \circ H = 1$. □

The modular interpretation of $\mathcal{Y}$ as an open substack of $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ is given as follows.

Suppose that X is a weak del Pezzo surface. Define a *line* in X to be a class m in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $m^2 = -1$ and $m.(-K_X) = 1$ and a *root* in X to be a class δ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ such that $\delta^2 = -2$ and $\delta.K_X = 0$. Let Λ denote the set of lines and Δ the set of roots on X. Then Δ is a finite root system and reflections in the roots generate a finite Weyl group W, as usual. A root δ is *effective* if $H^0(X, \delta) \neq 0$.

Define the *positive cone* $\mathcal{C}^+ = \mathcal{C}^+(X)$ by $\mathcal{C}^+ = \{x \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid x^2 > 0,\ x.(-K_X) > 0\}$. It is well known that a class x in $\mathcal{C}^+ \cap \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is nef if and only if $x.m > 0$ for all $m \in \Lambda$ and $x.\delta \geq 0$ for all effective roots δ on X. Put $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathcal{C}^+ \mid x.m > 0\ \forall m \in \Lambda\}$. Then the roots define walls that tesselate $\mathcal{D}$ into chambers that are permuted simply transitively by W. So, if $\mathcal{D}'$ is one such chamber, then $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{w\in W} w(\mathcal{D}')$.

In the lattice $I_{1,l}$, say $\Lambda_0 = \{m \in I_{1,l} \mid m^2 = -1,\ m.\kappa = 1\}$, $\Delta_0 = \{\delta \in I_{1,l} \mid \delta^2 = -2,\ \delta.\kappa = 0\}$, $\mathcal{C}_0^+ = \{x \in I_{1,l} \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 > 0,\ x.\kappa > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_0^+ \mid x.m > 0\ \forall m \in \Lambda_0\}$.

Pick a chamber $\mathcal{D}_0'$ in the tessellation of $\mathcal{D}_0$ defined by the roots in Δ_0. Let $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}^+$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ whose objects are triples $(X \to S, i, \phi)$ such that $\phi_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{D}_0')$ is contained in the nef cone of every geometric fibre of $X \to S$. Then $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}$, and $\mathcal{M}d\mathcal{P}$ is the union of these copies of $\mathcal{Y}$, one for each chamber $\mathcal{D}_0'$ in $\mathcal{D}_0$.

Now consider $\mathcal{Z}^+ \to \mathcal{L}$.

Proposition 8.8 *This is versal at each point q of $0_{\mathcal{L}}$.*

PROOF: According to the results of Friedman's thesis [F], there is a miniversal deformation $r : V \to Def$ of the fibre $\mathcal{Z}_q^+$ where Def is smooth and the discriminant locus Δ in Def is a smooth divisor. So there is a classifying morphism $g : \mathcal{L} \to Def$ such that $g^*\Delta = 0_{\mathcal{L}}$. Also, $0_{\mathcal{L}} \to \Delta$ separates tangent vectors, since $\mathcal{D}_1^+ \cong \mathcal{D}_1 \to \mathcal{Y} \cong 0_{\mathcal{L}}$ is everywhere miniversal.

Now $\dim \mathcal{Y} = \dim 0_{\mathcal{L}}$, so $\dim \mathcal{L} = \dim Def$ and we must show that g is unramified.

There is a Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Z}^+ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[d, "r"] \\
\mathcal{L} \arrow[r, "g"] & Def.
\end{tikzcd}$$

If g is ramified then it is ramified over Δ, and then $\mathcal{Z}^+ \to V$ is ramified along the entire singular divisor $r^{-1}(\Delta)$; however, $\mathcal{Z}^+$ is smooth. $\square$

This brings us to the main result of the paper. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a neighbourhood of the subregular unstable locus in $\mathcal{G}_E$ and that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the inverse image of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Recall also the stack $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$ from Section 6.

Lemma 8.9 *$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ is the inverse image of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$.*

PROOF: $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^+$ is the contraction of a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$ to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle γ. Fibre by fibre, this is the projection $q : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ given by taking the family of $(1,1)$-curves in SL_3/B that pass through a fixed point and projecting to the family of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ through a fixed point. Generically, these $(1,1)$-curves arise as the rational tail ϕ in a pre-stable curve $C = E \cup \phi$. From the construction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$ and the morphism $\psi : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^+$, this projection is exactly achieved by ψ over $\mathcal{Z}$. $\square$

Theorem 8.10 *(1) Over $\mathbb{C}$ the family $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{Y}/W]$ has simply elliptic singularities over the vertex of the cone $[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$ and is a miniversal deformation of these singularities.*
(2) There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{Z}^+ \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{Z} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{L} \arrow[r] & {[\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

that is a simultaneous log resolution of $\mathcal{Z} \to [\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}/W]$.

PROOF: This follows at once from Proposition 8.8 and Lemma 8.9. $\square$

Remark: The affine del Pezzo surface obtained by deleting the elliptic curve E has a mixed Hodge structure on H^2. These mixed Hodge structures are naturally parametrized by the Looijenga variety $\mathcal{Y}$. The above theorem shows, when restricted to the exceptional divisor $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{Z}^+$, that the period map for these affine del Pezzo surfaces is the same as the map from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ that we have constructed in terms of group theory.

References

[BG] A. Braverman and D. Gaitsgory, *Geometric Eisenstein Series*, arXiv:math/9912097v2

[BH] H. Bass and W. Haboush, *Linearizing certain reductive group actions*, Trans. AMS **292** (1985), 463-482.

[Br] E. Brieskorn, *On the unfolding of exceptional singularities*, Nova Acta Leopoldina **52**, 1981.

[GS] I. Grojnowski and N. Shepherd-Barron, *Springer fibres revisited*, in preparation.

[Fr] R. Friedman, *A new proof of the global Torelli theorem for $K3$ surfaces*, Annals of Math. **120** (1984), 237-269.

[FM] R. Friedman and J. Morgan, *Holomorphic Principal Bundles Over Elliptic Curves II: The Parabolic Construction*, arXiv 0006714v2.

[HS1] S. Helmke and P. Slodowy, *Loop groups, elliptic singularities and principal bundles over elliptic curves*, Banach Center Publications, Volume 62, 2004.

[HS2] ______, *On Unstable Principal Bundles over Elliptic Curves*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **37** (2001), 349-395

[HS3] ______, *Singular elements of affine Kac-Moody groups*, Proc. ECM Stockholm (2004).

[L] E. Looijenga, *Homogeneous spaces associated to certain semi-universal deformations*, Proc. ICM (Helsinki, 1978), pp. 529-536.

[M] J.Y. Mérindol,, *Les singularités simples elliptiques, leurs déformations, les surfaces de del Pezzo et les transformations quadratiques*, Ann. Sci. ENS **15** (1982), 17–44.

[P] H. Pinkham, *Simple elliptic singularities, Del Pezzo surfaces and Cremona transformations*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXX, Part 1, 69-71.

[SGA3] *Schémas en groupes*, Springer Lecture Notes **151-3**

[SSS] *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces*, Springer Lecture Notes **777**.

References

D.P.M.M.S., Cambridge University, Cambridge CB2 1SB, U.K.
E-mail address: `groj@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`

King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.
E-mail address: `Nicholas.Shepherd-Barron@kcl.ac.uk`